

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,926	RYU, SANG Y	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamal A Fox	2664	

All Participants:

(1) Jamal A Fox.

(2) Gregory G. Schivley.
Status of Application: 30/Docketed New Case

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2004
Time: 3:45 P.M. EST
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Gregory G. Schivley agreed to correct the spelling error in the Abstract of the Disclosure. Line 8, after "service", "wit" has been changed to - - with - -.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)